

## **General Disclaimer**

### **One or more of the Following Statements may affect this Document**

- This document has been reproduced from the best copy furnished by the organizational source. It is being released in the interest of making available as much information as possible.
- This document may contain data, which exceeds the sheet parameters. It was furnished in this condition by the organizational source and is the best copy available.
- This document may contain tone-on-tone or color graphs, charts and/or pictures, which have been reproduced in black and white.
- This document is paginated as submitted by the original source.
- Portions of this document are not fully legible due to the historical nature of some of the material. However, it is the best reproduction available from the original submission.

*Request D&F*

A SIMPLIFIED EQUILIBRIUM HYDROCARBON-AIR COMBUSTION  
GAS MODEL FOR CONVENIENT USE IN AIR-BREATHING  
ENGINE CYCLE COMPUTER PROGRAMS

---

A Thesis

Presented to

The Faculty of the School of Engineering and Applied Science  
University of Virginia

---

In Partial Fulfillment  
of the Requirements for the Degree  
Master of Aerospace Engineering

by

Vincent R. Mascitti



FACILITY FORM 002

<b>N 69-19694</b> (ACCESSION NUMBER)	_____ (THRU)
<b>51</b> (PAGES)	<b>1</b> (CODE)
<b>TMX-61530</b> (NASA CR OR TNX OR AD NUMBER)	<b>33</b> (CATEGORY)

APPROVAL SHEET

This thesis is submitted in partial fulfillment of  
the requirements for the degree of  
Master of Aerospace Engineering

---

Author

Approved:

---

Faculty Advisor

---

Dean, School of Engineering  
and Applied Science

## ABSTRACT

A simplified hydrocarbon-air combustion gas model, including the effects of dissociation, for convenient use in engine cycle computer programs is presented. The generalized model reduces to the hydrogen-air system as well as dissociating air. The exclusion of chemical species containing atomic nitrogen allows a considerable simplification of the composition equations. The thermodynamic properties of stoichiometric combustion of the kerosene-air and hydrogen-air systems are computed with the simplified model and compared with more comprehensive gas models. In addition, the effect of the neglected chemical species on the performance of a idealized subsonic combustion ramjet is presented. The simplified gas model has been used to define the limiting conditions for solid carbon and ammonia formation for fuel rich gas mixtures. A computer program listing of the simplified gas model calculation procedure is presented.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author wishes to acknowledge the helpful suggestions of Mr. Lowell E. Hasel, Mr. Emanuel Boxer, Mr. Arvid L. Keith, and Dr. Harold Morton and the cooperation of NASA-Langley in permitting this work to be performed. In addition, the typist, Mrs. JoAnn Davis, has been most helpful in the preparation of this report.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	PAGE
I. INTRODUCTION . . . . .	1
II. ANALYSIS . . . . .	6
Description of Gas Model . . . . .	6
Derivation of Gas Model . . . . .	8
Overall stoichiometry and gas model constants . . . .	9
Hydrocarbon-air gas model with dissociation . . . .	12
Air-gas model with dissociation . . . . .	17
Fuel rich gas model with solid carbon formation . .	19
Nondissociating gas model . . . . .	21
Thermodynamic properties of gas mixtures . . . . .	23
III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION . . . . .	25
Comparison of gas models . . . . .	25
Ramjet performance comparison . . . . .	28
Summary of solid carbon and ammonia formation . . . .	33
IV. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS . . . . .	41
REFERENCES . . . . .	42
APPENDIX . . . . .	45

# LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE	PAGE
1. Description of gas model . . . . .	7
2. Variation of equilibrium constants with temperature for gas model reactions . . . . .	13
3. Mollier diagram; kerosene-air combustion products ( $R_{ch} = 0.5$ ), $R_{eq} = 1.0$ . . . . .	26
4. Mollier diagram; hydrogen-air combustion products ( $R_{ch} = 0$ ), $R_{eq} = 1.0$ . . . . .	27
5. Assumed flight profile for ramjet performance calculation . . . . .	31
6. Isentropic nozzle expansion process . . . . .	32
7. Effect of gas model on typical ramjet performance. Hydrogen-air combustion, $R_{eq} = 1.0$ , $q = 1500 \text{ lb/ft}^2$ , $P_t = 10 \text{ atm}$ ; isentropic fully expanded nozzle; 1962 Standard Atmosphere . . . . .	34
8. Limiting pressure for solid carbon formation, methane-air combustion products ( $R_{ch} = 0.25$ ), $R_{eq,lim} = 4.0$ . . . .	36
9. Limiting pressure for solid carbon formation, kerosene-air combustion products ( $R_{ch} = 0.5$ ), $R_{eq,lim} = 3.0$ . . . .	37
10. Limiting pressure for solid carbon formation, benzene-air combustion products ( $R_{ch} = 1.0$ ), $R_{eq,lim} = 2.5$ . . . .	38
11. Limiting pressure for ammonia formation ( $X_{NH_3} = 0.01$ ), hydrogen-air combustion products ( $R_{ch} = 0$ ) . . . . .	40

# SYMBOLS AND NOTATION

$F_{\text{net}}$	net thrust, lbf
$f$	fuel-air ratio
$f_s$	stoichiometric fuel-air ratio
$g$	acceleration due to gravitational field of the earth, 32.174 ft/sec <sup>2</sup>
$\frac{G}{RT}$	Gibbs free energy
$H$	enthalpy, Btu/lbm
$I_{\text{sp}}$	specific impulse, sec
$J$	mechanical equivalent of heat, 778.2 ft-lbf/Btu
$K$	equilibrium constant in terms of partial pressures
$M$	molecular weight
$n$	ratio of hydrogen atom to carbon atom in fuel molecule
$p$	pressure, atmospheres
$q$	dynamic pressure, lbf/ft <sup>2</sup>
$R$	universal gas constant, 1.98588 Btu/mole-°R
$R_{\text{ch}}$	ratio of carbon to hydrogen atoms in fuel molecule, $\frac{1}{n}$
$R_{\text{eq}}$	equivalence ratio, $\frac{f}{f_s}$
$S$	entropy, Btu/lbm-°R
$T$	temperature, °R
$V$	velocity, ft/sec
$W_A$	airflow rate, lbf/sec
$X$	mole fraction



### Subscripts

$\infty$	free-stream conditions
J	Jth species
j	jet
lim	limiting condition for solid carbon or ammonia formation
s	static conditions
t	stagnation conditions

### Superscripts

o	at reference pressure, 1 atmosphere
---	-------------------------------------

## CHAPTER I

### INTRODUCTION

Recent and continuing advances in fluid dynamics and metallurgy have opened new domains of power and efficiency to the air-breathing combustion engine. The combined effect of technological advances and the demand for greater operating flexibility in the aircraft power plants have led to certain design trends which are significant from a thermodynamic standpoint, namely: the maximum allowable internal temperatures, pressures, and gas stream velocities are increasing, and minimum internal operating pressures are decreasing as well.

Developments in the area of compressor and turbine blade cooling have been instrumental in extending the potential range of efficient operation of the turbojet engine to high supersonic Mach numbers and high altitudes. As discussed in Zucrow (Ref. 1), for turbojets employing uncooled turbine blades, the temperature of the gases leaving the combustion chambers must be limited to approximately  $2000^{\circ}\text{R}$  due to stress, creep, and stress duration considerations. Because of that temperature limitation the overall fuel-air ratio for a turbojet engine burning a hydrocarbon fuel, such as JP-4 ( $\text{CH}_2$ ), is quite low, of the order of 0.015 ( $R_{\text{eq}} \approx 0.25$ ). Current turbojet designs, such as those proposed for the supersonic transport, employ turbine blade cooling which permits turbine inlet temperatures of approximately  $2800^{\circ}\text{R}$  (Rothrock, Ref. 2) and results in fuel-air ratios of the order of 0.03 ( $R_{\text{eq}} \approx 0.5$ ). Advanced turbojet engines which employ hydrogen for both

fuel and turbine cooling are being studied with stoichiometric combustion and resulting turbine inlet temperatures of approximately  $4000^{\circ}$  R.

The ramjet engine is better suited for propulsion problems requiring high flight speeds. No such temperature limitation is applicable to the ramjet engine because it has no moving parts that are subjected simultaneously to dynamic loads and high temperatures. Consequently, stoichiometric combustion of high energy fuels, such as methane ( $\text{CH}_4$ ) and hydrogen, may be utilized for the ramjet engine. In addition, the high stagnation temperatures attained by the air at high flight Mach numbers result in combustion temperatures approaching  $5000^{\circ}$  R for stoichiometric methane combustion and  $6500^{\circ}$  R for stoichiometric hydrogen combustion.

As discussed by Ferri (Ref. 3), the concept of the supersonic combustion ramjet appears attractive for very high Mach numbers and altitudes approaching orbital conditions. Since the fuel is mixed and ignited in a supersonic airstream, internal temperatures would not exceed  $5000^{\circ}$  R (stoichiometric hydrogen-air combustion), even at flight Mach numbers of the order of 12. Because of the application of the supersonic combustion ramjet to high altitude flight and the fact that a large portion of the energy in the airstream remains in the form of kinetic energy, internal operating pressures can be substantially below sea level atmospheric pressure.

An accurate knowledge of the thermodynamic properties and behavior of these engine's working fluid under all operating conditions is a basic requirement for effective design. However, at high temperatures

and low pressures, considerable difficulties arise in calculating the thermodynamic properties of combustion gas mixtures - difficulties which stem from the phenomena of internal energy excitation coupled with chemical dissociation.

In the past, methods such as presented by Hall and Weber in Reference 4 have been used to calculate the thermodynamic properties of combustion gases for air-breathing engine cycle computations. The method of Reference 4 is based on separate calculations of thermodynamic properties of air and the products of combustion of a stoichiometric fuel-air mixture. It is assumed that the properties of the combustion products for any fuel-air ratio less than stoichiometric may be obtained by linear interpolation between the two extreme cases. Results of this method are exact for cases with no dissociation. However, when dissociation is taken into account, errors arise because the method does not account for the change in composition of the gases when they are mixed. This error is small for turbojet or turbofan calculations since temperatures are relatively low & dissociation is not extensive. However, the high operating temperatures of hypersonic engine cycles may result in cases of extreme dissociation and cause large errors in calculating engine performance.

The method of Reference 4 does not allow engine calculations for equivalence ratios greater than unity. However, at high Mach number flight, the fuel required to cool aerodynamic surfaces and engine component may force engine operation into this region.

In short, the method of Reference 4 does not provide a sufficiently general basis for engine cycle calculations under all conditions of current and future interest.

Chemical equilibrium theory which governs dissociation phenomena has long been formulated, for example by Clarke and McChesney (Ref. 5). However, its application to complex combustion gas mixtures has proven so tedious and complicated as to preclude direct use in engine cycle computer programs. The General Electric combustion gas models of References 6 and 7, which serve as a basis of comparison for the simplified gas model presented herein, are elaborate treatments of the hydrogen-air and kerosene-air systems.

The generalized hydrogen-air model of Reference 6 assumes 12 chemical species, requiring eight independent chemical reactions leading to eight equilibrium expressions. Since the initial proportions of hydrogen and air define four mass balance equations, the mathematical solution: 12 equations - 12 unknowns, is demonstrated. The reduction of the system of equations to one equation - one unknown, as recommended by Erickson, Kemper, and Allison in Reference 8 - is extremely tedious. With the exclusion of the technique of Reference 8, hope for the solution of this system by a single level iteration is abandoned. The adoption of a two-level iteration or one of the methods summarized by Zeleznik and Gordon in Reference 9 to solve for gas composition has a great effect on the utility of the computation procedure as a subroutine for an engine cycle computer program. Consequently, the most efficient means of representing the thermodynamic properties of these gas models

in an engine cycle program is by an elaborate system of fitted curves, sacrificing both computer storage and accuracy.

The purpose of the simplified gas model presented herein is to incorporate the substantial effects of dissociation consistent with convenient use in computerized engine cycle calculations. The proposed gas model is simplified in the sense that the formation of species containing atomic nitrogen is neglected. This assumption enables the solution for chemical composition to be obtained with a single level iteration. Although the original intent of this study was directed toward the hydrogen-air system, it was found that the model could be generalized to any hydrocarbon-air system, as well as dissociating air, with little additional complexity. The computer program included can be readily incorporated as a subroutine in an engine cycle program or used alone to generate Mollier diagrams. Inputs to the program are the ratio of carbon atoms to hydrogen atoms in the fuel molecule, equivalence ratio, temperature, and pressure. Outputs from the program are the mole fractions of the chemical species assumed, enthalpy, entropy, and molecular weight.

## CHAPTER II

### ANALYSIS

#### Description of Gas Model

The range of temperatures and pressures of interest for air-breathing engine application is assumed to be below temperatures of  $7000^{\circ}$  R and between pressures of 0.001 and 100 atmospheres. In this range of conditions, References 3 and 4 indicate that the formation of nitrogen species, such as N, NH,  $\text{NH}_3$ , and NO occurs in negligible amounts and, therefore, has a very small effect on the thermodynamic properties of combustion gas mixtures. The assumption to neglect these species has a great effect on the complexity of the calculation procedure since molecular nitrogen can be considered inert. The effect of this assumption on the thermodynamic properties of gas mixtures and on the performance of an idealized ramjet engine will be discussed in the section entitled Results and Discussion.

Figure 1 is a diagram illustrating the chemical species and required chemical reactions considered in this gas model. The figure is divided into regions of temperature and equivalence ratio. Above  $T_{\text{cut-off}}$  the gas is considered to be dissociating. The chemical species assumed for pure air ( $R_{\text{eq}} = 0$ ) are identical to those of Reference 6 and include atomic nitrogen species. For the combustion gas model ( $R_{\text{eq}} > 0$ ) the dissociated nitrogen species (N, NH,  $\text{NH}_3$ , NO) are not included. It is apparent that by excluding the species and reaction containing carbon, the model reduces to the hydrogen-air system.

Temperature  
 ↑  
 ← Dissociating

<b>Species</b> $O_2, N_2, Ar, O, NO, N$  <b>Reactions</b> $2O = O_2$ $2N = N_2$ $N + O = NO$	<b>Species</b> $H_2O, CO_2, O_2, H_2, N_2, Ar, O, H, OH, CO$  <b>Reactions</b> $2H + O = H_2O$ $CO + O = CO_2$  $2H = H_2$ $2O = O_2$ $O + H = OH$
$T_{cut-off} = 100 \log_{10} p + 2800$	
<b>Species</b> $O_2, N_2, Ar$	<b>Species</b> $O_2, N_2, Ar, H_2O, CO_2$
$R_{eq} = 0$	$0 < R_{eq} < 1$
<b>Equivalence ratio</b>	
<b>Species</b> $N_2, H_2, Ar, H_2O, CO_2, CO$  <b>Reactions</b> $H_2 + CO_2 = CO + H_2O$	
$R_{eq} > 1$	

Nondissociating  
 ↓

Figure 1.- Description of gas model.



Below  $T_{\text{cut-off}}$ , in general, all chemical reactions are complete and combustion products completely formed. Therefore, the initial proportions of elements are sufficient to define the gas composition. However, for  $R_{\text{eq}} > 1$ , the gas model of Reference 7 indicates that CO may form even below  $T_{\text{cut-off}}$ . As a result, the initial proportions of elements are not sufficient to define the gas composition and one chemical reaction is required.

In the region of fuel rich operation, solid carbon and ammonia may form. The limiting pressure for the formation of solid carbon depends upon the relative proportions of carbon dioxide ( $\text{CO}_2$ ) and carbon monoxide (CO), equivalence ratio, and temperature. However, there is a limiting equivalence ratio for a given hydrocarbon-air system above which carbon will form under any condition of pressure and temperature.

The formation of ammonia was considered only for the hydrogen-air case since the formation of solid carbon preceded the formation of ammonia for the combustion products of all the hydrocarbon-air systems studied. The limiting pressure for the formation of a given amount of ammonia depends upon the relative proportion of nitrogen ( $\text{N}_2$ ) and hydrogen ( $\text{H}_2$ ), equivalence ratio, and temperature.


It should be emphasized that the combustion gas model, including the criteria for solid carbon and ammonia formation, is based entirely upon equilibrium theory of gases.

#### Derivation of Gas Model


The general equations presented in this section for computing chemical composition and combustion gas properties make use of the

following assumptions: (1) the formulated gas mixtures simulate the products of complete, constant pressure, adiabatic combustion; (2) the gas mixtures are in thermal and chemical equilibrium; (3) the ideal gas equation of state is valid; (4) only gas phases are considered; and (5) the effects of ionization are negligible.

Overall stoichiometry and gas model constants.- In order that the simplified gas model may apply to the combustion of any hydrocarbon fuel a generalized statement concerning the atomic composition of the fuel is required. Consider the following stoichiometric reactions:



In general terms:



where

$$n = \frac{\text{no. atoms H}}{\text{no. atoms C}} \text{ in fuel molecule}$$

Thus, in the general case:

$$\frac{\text{no. moles CH}_n}{\text{no. moles O}_2} = \frac{4R_{\text{eq}}}{n+4}$$

where  $R_{eq}$  is the equivalence ratio. The initial composition of air incorporated in this gas model is the same as that of Reference 6; that is, combustion is assumed to have occurred with dry air of the following composition by volume:

$O_2$	$N_2$	A
20.9495 per cent	78.0881 per cent	0.9624 per cent

The following constants and parameters define, in general terms, the initial atomic proportions of the elements in the gas mixture.

$$C_1 = \frac{\text{no. atoms A}}{\text{no. atoms N}} = 0.00616227$$

$$C_2 = \frac{\text{no. atoms N}}{\text{no. atoms O}} = 3.727445$$

$$C_3 = \frac{\text{no. atoms C}}{\text{no. atoms O}} = \frac{2R_{eq}}{n + 4}$$


$$C_4 = \frac{\text{no. atoms H}}{\text{no. atoms O}} = \frac{2nR_{eq}}{n + 4}$$

Defining  $R_{ch} = \frac{1}{n}$ , then

$$C_3 = \frac{2R_{eq}R_{ch}}{1 + 4R_{ch}}$$

$$C_4 = \frac{2R_{eq}}{1 + 4R_{ch}}$$

For the special case of hydrogen-air combustion:



$$\frac{\text{no. moles H}_2}{\text{no. moles O}_2} = 2R_{\text{eq}}$$

$$R_{\text{ch}} = 0 \qquad C_3 = 0 \qquad C = 2R_{\text{eq}}$$

The stoichiometric fuel-air ratio is given by:

$$f_s = 0.028931 \left[ \frac{1.008 + 12.01R_{\text{ch}}}{1 + 4R_{\text{ch}}} \right]$$

It is of value to represent the equilibrium constants for the assumed reactions in a convenient manner. The treatment of chemical equilibria in Reference 5 leads to the equilibrium expression for a general reaction:



The equilibrium expression is:

$$\frac{x_C^c x_D^d}{x_A^a x_B^b} = P^{-(c+d-a-b)} \cdot K$$

where


$$K = \exp \left[ - \left\{ c \frac{G_C^0}{RT} + d \frac{G_D^0}{RT} - a \frac{G_A^0}{RT} - b \frac{G_B^0}{RT} \right\} \right]$$

Figure 2 shows the variation of  $\log_{10} K$  with  $\frac{1}{T}$  for the chemical reactions considered in this gas model. The values of Gibbs free energy  $\frac{G_j^0}{RT}$  for the species were taken from the data tabulations in References 6 and 7. Figure 2 indicates that the equilibrium constants can be represented as linear functions.


Hydrocarbon-air gas model with dissociation. - The following gas model applies to the region:

$$T > T_{\text{cut.off}}, \quad R_{\text{eq}} > 0$$

Chemical species assumed:



Chemical reactions:



Mass conservation equations:

$$\sum_{J=1}^{10} X_J = 1 \quad (1)$$

$$X_A = C_1(2X_{\text{N}_2}) \quad (2)$$

$$2X_{\text{N}_2} = C_2(2X_{\text{CO}_2} + X_{\text{CO}} + X_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} + 2X_{\text{O}_2} + X_{\text{O}} + X_{\text{OH}}) \quad (3)$$




Figure 2.- Variation of equilibrium constants with temperature for gas model reactions.

$$X_{CO_2} + X_{CO} = C_3(2X_{CO_2} + X_{CO} + X_{H_2O} + 2X_{O_2} + X_O + X_{OH}) \quad (4)$$

$$X_H + 2X_{H_2} + 2X_{H_2O} + X_{OH} = C_4(2X_{CO_2} + X_{CO} + X_{H_2O} + X_O + X_{OH} + 2X_{O_2}) \quad (5)$$

Equilibrium expressions:

$$X_{H_2O} = P^2 K_1 X_O X_H^2 \quad (6)$$

$$X_{H_2} = PK_2 X_H^2 \quad (7)$$

$$X_{O_2} = PK_3 X_O^2 \quad (8)$$

$$X_{CO_2} = PK_4 X_O X_{CO} \quad (9)$$

$$X_{OH} = PK_5 X_O X_H \quad (10)$$

Solving for two equations - two unknowns in  $X_O$ ,  $X_H$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha = & \{A_{22}X_O^2 + A_{21}X_O + A_{20}\}X_H^2 + \{A_{12}X_O^2 + A_{11}X_O + A_{10}\}X_H \\ & + \{A_{03}X_O^3 + A_{02}X_O^2 + A_{01}X_O + A_{00}\} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\beta = \{B_{22}X_0^2 + B_{21}X_0 + B_{20}\}X_H^2 + \{B_{12}X_0^2 + B_{11}X_0 + B_{10}\}X_H \\ - \{B_{03}X_0^3 + B_{02}X_0^2 + B_{01}X_0\} = 0$$

For  $R_{eq} > 1$  the iteration function,  $\alpha$ , is insensitive to changes in  $X_0$ . As a result,  $X_H$  is used as the iteration variable in this region of computation. Unfortunately, if  $X_H$  is assumed, the expression  $\beta$  is cubic in  $X_0$  and an additional iteration is required. For fuel rich hydrogen-air mixtures  $B_{03} = 0$ ,  $\beta$  is quadratic in  $X_0$ , and the single level iteration is maintained. However, for fuel rich mixtures containing carbon, a double level iteration is necessary for solution, where

$$A_{22} = P^3 K_1 K_4 (1 - 2C_3 + C)$$

$$A_{21} = P^2 \{K_1(1 + C) + 2K_2 K_4 (1 - 2C_3)\}$$

$$A_{20} = 2PK_2(1 - C_3)$$

$$A_{12} = P^2 K_4 K_5 (1 - 2C_3 + C)$$

$$A_{11} = P \{K_5(1 + C) + 2K_4(1 - 2C_3)\}$$

$$A_{10} = 2(1 - C_3)$$

$$A_{03} = 2CP^2 K_3 K_4$$

$$A_{02} = P \{2K_3(C + C_3) + K_4(1 - 2C_3 + C)\}$$



$$A_{01} = 1 + C - 2PK_4(1 - 2C_3)$$

$$A_{00} = -2(1 - C_3)$$

and

$$B_{22} = P^3 K_1 K_4 \{2(1 - C_3) - C_4\}$$

$$B_{21} = P^2 \{K_1 [2(1 - C_3) - C_4] + 2K_2 K_4 (1 - 2C_3)\}$$

$$B_{20} = 2PK_2(1 - C_3)$$

$$B_{12} = P^2 K_4 K_5 (1 - 2C_3 - C_4)$$

$$B_{11} = P \{K_5 (1 - C_3 - C_4) + K_4 (1 - 2C_3)\}$$

$$B_{10} = 1 - C_3$$

$$B_{03} = 2C_4 P^2 K_3 K_4$$

$$B_{02} = C_4 P \{2K_3 + K_4\}$$

$$B_{01} = C_4$$

$$C = 1 + C_2(1 + 2C_1)$$

Setting  $C_3$  and  $K_4$  equal to zero reduces the system to identically the hydrogen-air case. The expressions for  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are satisfied simultaneously by use of the Newton Iteration Scheme. Newton's iteration scheme for determining  $X_O$  and  $X_H$  can be written as

$$X_0(L+1) = X_0(L) - \frac{\alpha(X_0(L), X_H(L))}{\frac{d}{dX_0}(\alpha(X_0(L), X_H(L)))}$$

Where  $X_0(L)$  is the Lth approximation of  $X_0$  and the derivative of  $\alpha(X_0, X_H)$  with respect to  $X_0$  is

$$\frac{d\alpha}{dX_0} = \frac{\partial\alpha}{\partial X_0} - \frac{\partial\alpha}{\partial X_H} \left[ \frac{\frac{\partial\beta}{\partial X_0}}{\frac{\partial\beta}{\partial X_H}} \right]$$


Air-gas model with dissociation. - The following gas model applies to the region:

$$T > T_{\text{cut-off}}, \quad R_{\text{eq}} = 0$$

Chemical species assumed:



Reactions:



Mass conservation equations

$$\sum_{J=1}^6 X_J = 1 \quad (1)$$

$$X_A = C_1(2X_{N_2} + X_{NO} + X_N) \quad (2)$$

$$2X_{N_2} + X_{NO} + X_N = C_2(2X_{O_2} + X_O + X_{NO}) \quad (3)$$

Equilibrium expressions

$$X_{O_2} = PK_3 X_O^2 \quad (4)$$

$$X_{N_2} = PK_6 X_N^2 \quad (5)$$

$$X_{NO} = PK_7 X_O X_N \quad (6)$$

Solving for two equations two unknowns in  $X_O$ ,  $X_N$ :

$$\alpha = A_{20}X_N^2 + \{A_{11}X_O + A_{10}\}X_N + \{A_{02}X_O^2 + A_{01}X_O + A_{00}\} = 0$$

$$\beta = B_{20}X_N^2 + \{B_{11}X_O + B_{10}\}X_N - \{B_{02}X_O^2 + B_{01}X_O\} = 0$$

where

$$A_{20} = (1 + 2C_1)PK_6$$

$$B_{20} = 2PK_6$$

$$A_{11} = (1 + C_1)PK_7$$

$$B_{11} = (1 - C_2)PK_7$$

$$A_{10} = 1 + C_1$$

$$B_{10} = 1$$

$$A_{02} = PK_3$$

$$B_{02} = 2C_2PK_3$$

$$A_{01} = 1$$

$$B_{01} = C_2$$


$$A_{00} = -1$$

The simultaneous solution of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  is subject to the same iteration procedure as the gas model of the previous section.


Fuel rich gas model with solid carbon formation. - The following gas model applies to the region:

$$T \leq T_{\text{cut-off}}, \quad R_{\text{eq}} > 1$$

Chemical species assumed:



Chemical reactions:



Mass conservation equations:

$$\sum_{J=1}^6 X_J = 1 \quad (1)$$

$$X_A = C_1(2X_{\text{N}_2}) \quad (2)$$

$$2X_{\text{N}_2} = C_2(2X_{\text{CO}_2} + X_{\text{CO}} + X_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}) \quad (3)$$

$$X_{\text{CO}_2} + X_{\text{CO}} = C_3(2X_{\text{CO}_2} + X_{\text{CO}} + X_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}) \quad (4)$$

$$2X_{H_2} + 2X_{H_2O} = C_4(2X_{CO_2} + X_{CO} + X_{H_2O}) \quad (5)$$

Equilibrium expressions:

$$X_{H_2O}X_{CO} = K_8X_{H_2}X_{CO_2} \quad (6)$$

Solving for  $X_{CO}$  yields:

$$AAX_{CO}^2 + BBX_{CO} + CC = 0$$


where

$$AA = (1 - K_8)(C + C_4 + 2C_3 - 1)^2$$

$$BB = (C + C_4 + 2C_3 - 1)[2(1 - 2C_3) + K_8(C_4 + 6C_3 - 2)]$$

$$CC = 2C_3K_8(2 - C_4 - 4C_3)$$

Consider the following reaction:



Equilibrium expression:

$$P_{lim} = \frac{K_9X_{CO_2}}{X_{CO}^2}$$

If  $P > P_{lim}$ , solid carbon will form. There is, however, an equivalence ratio for the combustion products of a given hydrocarbon ( $R_{ch}$ ), where solid carbon will form even in a vacuum.

Consider the equilibrium expression:

$$P_{\text{lim}} X_{\text{CO}}^2 = K_9 X_{\text{CO}_2}$$

$$P_{\text{lim}} \rightarrow 0, \quad X_{\text{CO}_2} \rightarrow 0$$


Setting  $X_{\text{CO}_2} = 0$  in the system last derived, gives:

$$R_{\text{eq,lim}} = \frac{1 + 4R_{\text{ch}}}{2R_{\text{ch}}}$$

Nondissociating gas model. - The following gas model applies to the region:

$$T \leq T_{\text{cut-off}}$$

Chemical species assumed:



Mass conservation equations:

$$\sum_{J=1}^6 X_J = 1 \quad (1)$$

$$X_A = C_1(2X_{\text{N}_2}) \quad (2)$$

$$2X_{\text{N}_2} = C_2(2X_{\text{CO}_2} + X_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} + 2X_{\text{O}_2}) \quad (3)$$

$$X_{CO_2} = C_3(2X_{CO_2} + X_{H_2O} + 2X_{O_2}) \quad (4)$$

$$2X_{H_2} + 2X_{H_2O} = C_4(2X_{CO_2} + X_{H_2O} + 2X_{O_2}) \quad (5)$$

For  $1.0 > R_{eq} \geq 0$

$$X_{H_2} = 0, \quad X_J = X_J(R_{ch}, R_{eq})$$


For  $R_{eq} = 1$

$$X_{H_2} = 0, \quad X_{O_2} = 0, \quad X_J = X_J(R_{ch})$$

For the hydrogen-air case ( $R_{ch} = 0$ )  $R_{eq} > 1$

$$X_{O_2} = 0, \quad X_J = X_J(R_{eq})$$

consider the following reaction:



Equilibrium expression

$$P_{lim}^2 = \frac{X_{NH_3}^2}{K_{10}} X_{H_2}^3 X_{N_2}$$

for

$$X_{NH_3} > 0.01, \quad P > P_{lim}$$

Thermodynamic properties of gas mixtures. - The previous derivations have outlined the solution for gas mixture composition. With the chemical composition defined and a tabulation of the thermodynamic properties of the pure constituents provided, the thermodynamic properties of a gas mixture can be calculated by the following (Ref. 6):

$$\text{Enthalpy:} \quad H = \frac{R}{M} \sum_J X_J \frac{H_J^0}{R}$$

$$\text{Entropy:} \quad S = \frac{R}{M} \sum_J X_J \left( \frac{S_J^0}{R} - \ln P - \ln X_J \right)$$

$$\text{Mean Molecular Weight: } M = \sum_J X_J M_J$$

where  $\frac{H_J^0}{R}$  and  $\frac{S_J^0}{R}$  are the thermodynamic properties of the pure constituents at reference pressure (1 atmosphere). The thermodynamic expressions above inherently assume that the pure constituents obey the perfect gas law.

The standard reference state of the elements A, N, O, H, C are taken from Reference 6, that is:

A	as	A
N	as	N <sub>2</sub>
O	as	O <sub>2</sub>
H	as	H <sub>2</sub>
C	as	C (solid)



By definition, the energy content of these elements in their standard reference states ( $T = 0^\circ \text{R}$ ) is zero. Equations for the computation of fuel enthalpies consistent with this thermodynamic basis are presented in Reference 7.

A listing of the computer program to calculate the composition and thermodynamic properties of this gas model is presented and discussed in the Appendix. Computational time for this program has been estimated at 6000 cases/min on the IBM 7094 data processing system at the Langley Research Center. One case is defined as the computation of one point on a Mollier Diagram.

## CHAPTER III

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Comparison of gas models.- The computer program for the simplified combustion gas model has been used to calculate the thermodynamic properties of the stoichiometric kerosene-air and hydrogen-air systems. The purpose of this calculation is to compare the results of the simplified gas model with the more extensive treatments of References 6 and 7. Since the thermodynamic properties of the pure constituents used in the simplified model were taken from these references, the differences between the results of the simplified model and the reference models are due to the formation of the neglected chemical species N, NH, NH<sub>3</sub>, NO.

Figure 3 is a Mollier diagram for the stoichiometric products of combustion of kerosene with air ( $R_{ch} = 0.5$ ). The solid curves are values plotted from the tabulated data of Reference 7. The dashed curves are values obtained from the computer program for the simplified model. Unfortunately, the data presented in Reference 7 are limited to temperatures below 5000° R. Since the formation of species including atomic nitrogen (N, NH, NH<sub>3</sub>) is more important at temperatures above 5000° R, the good agreement between gas models is not surprising.

Figure 4 is a Mollier diagram for the stoichiometric products of combustion of hydrogen with air ( $R_{ch} = 0$ ). The solid curves are values plotted from the tabulated data of Reference 6. The dashed curves are values obtained from the computer program for the simplified model.




Figure 3.- Mollier diagram; kerosene-air combustion products ( $R_{ch} = 0.5$ ),  $R_{eq} = 1.0$ .




Figure 4.- Mollier diagram; hydrogen-air combustion products ( $R_{ch} = 0$ ),  $R_{eq} = 1.0$ .


The thermodynamic properties tabulated in Reference 6 cover temperatures as high as  $10,000^{\circ}$  R. The substantial disagreement above  $7000^{\circ}$  R at low pressures is due to the formation of atomic nitrogen species. An interesting result is that the agreement between lines of constant pressure is much better than the agreement between lines of constant temperature. This result is fortunate, since, for thermodynamic processes such as isentropic nozzle expansion, temperature is not used directly to calculate performance.

The simultaneous conditions of high temperatures and low pressures, the area of substantial disagreement between the simplified gas model and the reference gas models, are beyond the realm of operation of typical hypersonic engine cycles. For example, for subsonic combustion ramjets, combustion pressures and temperatures are high since the airstream is brought nearly to stagnation conditions before combustion. For supersonic combustion ramjets, combustion pressures and temperatures are low, since a large portion of the total air enthalpy remains in the form of kinetic energy. Hence, the conditions of high temperatures and low pressures do not occur simultaneously for engine cycles currently considered feasible for hypersonic flight.

Ramjet performance comparison. - In applying the simplified gas model to hypersonic engine calculations, it is of interest to determine the effect which the use of the simplified gas model has on the calculated performance of a typical subsonic combustion ramjet.

The subsonic combustion ramjet is perhaps the simplest air-breathing engine cycle. Referring to the sketch below, the free-stream

air is decelerated through an air intake or inlet to low subsonic speeds in the burner. Here fuel is added at low velocity, combustion takes place, and then the flow is accelerated through a nozzle.



Since the purpose of this performance calculation is to show the effect of small differences in thermodynamic properties on ramjet performance, the following simplifying assumptions were made:

1. Free-stream Mach number chosen along a constant dynamic pressure path ( $q = 1500$  psf).
2. Airstream decelerated to stagnation conditions with total pressure recovery degraded to 10 atmospheres. (A good assumption at high Mach numbers, due to internal duct pressure limitations.)
3. Completely mixed stoichiometric hydrogen-air combustion.
4. No pressure losses during combustion ( $P_{t_{nozzle}} = 10$  atmospheres).
5. Enthalpy of injected hydrogen equals zero. Molecular hydrogen is a reference element, thus  $H_{H_2}^0 = 0$  at  $T = 0^\circ R$ .
6. Combustion gas is isentropically expanded to free stream, static conditions using so-called "shifting equilibrium."

The 1962 standard atmosphere (Ref. 10) yields free-stream static conditions, and together with assumption (1) gives the variation of altitude with Mach number shown in Figure 5. For steady adiabatic flow, the total energy in the airstream is given by:

$$H_{t,air} = H_{\infty,air} + \frac{V_{\infty}^2}{2Jg}$$

For an adiabatic combustion process:

$$H_{t,products} = \frac{H_{t,air} + fH_{t,hydrogen}}{1 + f}$$

With two properties ( $H_{t,products}$ ,  $P_{t,nozzle}$ ) of the combustion gas derined, the Mollier diagram can be entered. Figure 6 is a schematic of a Mollier diagram showing lines of constant pressure for the simplified gas model and that of Reference 6. Since the simplified gas model neglects the dissociated nitrogen species, the entropy level is slightly less than that obtained from Reference 6. In expanding the combustion gas to free-stream static pressure, the gas enters the region of almost exact agreement between gas models. Consequently, the expansion using the simplified gas model gives a slightly larger value of  $H_t - H_s$  than Reference 6. However, the effect of the difference in  $\Delta H$  is reduced by the fact that:

$$V_j \sim \sqrt{H_t - H_s}$$




Figure 5.- Assumed flight profile for ramjet performance calculation.



## APPENDIX

### COMPUTER PROGRAM

The calculation procedure for determining gas composition and thermodynamic properties for the simplified gas model has been programmed for and computed on the IBM 709<sup>4</sup> data processing system at the Langley Research Center. A printout of the program is presented in this appendix. The program is written in Fortran language (Ref. 10). The symbols used for the program are as follows:

RCH	$R_{ch}$	X(1)	$X_{H_2O}$
REQ	$R_{eq}$	X(2)	$X_{CO_2}$
TEMP	T	X(3)	$X_{O_2}$
P	P	X(4)	$X_{H_2}$
M	M	X(5)	$X_{N_2}$
H	H	X(6)	$X_A$
S	S	X(7)	$X_O$
MT(J)	$M_J$	X(8)	$X_H$
TT(I)	$T_I$	X(9)	$X_{CO}$
HT(I,J)	$H_J^O$	X(10)	$X_{OH}$
ST(I,J)	$S_J^O$	X(11)	$X_{NO}$
		X(12)	$X_N$

Input.— The input is read into the IBM 709<sup>4</sup> data processing system by the Fortran statement:

```

READ (5,100) RCH,REQ,TEMP,P
100 FORMAT (4E 16.8)

```

For example, an input card would be:

```

Col.- 1          17          23          49
      +0.00000000E+00 +0.10000000E+01 +0.50000000E+04 +0.10000000E+00

```

Output.- The output for this program is the mole fractions of each constituent and the thermodynamic properties of the gas mixture.

For example, output for the case above would be:

```

RCH=0.00000000E-38 REQ=0.10000000E+01 TEMP=0.50000000E 04R P=0.10000000E 00ATM

      XH2O          XC02          XO2          XH2
0.18315569E 00    0.00000000E-38    0.27084661E-01    0.71114589E-01

      XN2          XA          XO
0.57613321E 00    0.71005768E-02    0.25695777E-01    0.63610586E-01

      XCO          XOH          XNO          XN
0.00000000E-38    0.46109576E-01    0.00000000E-38    0.00000000E-38

N=0.21993865E 02  H=0.14316146E      04BTU/LBM  S=0.30918686E 01BTU/LBM-

```

Built-in data.- The thermodynamic properties of the pure constituents  $\left(\frac{H_J^0}{R}, \frac{S_J^0}{R}\right)$  are taken from References 6 and 7 and input as two-dimensional arrays named:

HT(I,J) and ST(I,J)

Where "I" is the index on temperature and "J" is the index on constituents. The thermodynamic properties are input for the discrete

temperatures given in  $TT(I)$ . The enthalpy array  $HT(I,J)$  is interpolated linearly while the entropy array  $ST(I,J)$  is interpolated logarithmically.

Complete program. - The following program has been used on the IBM 7094 data processing system at the Langley Research Center.

C THERMODYNAMIC PROPERTIES OF HYDROCARBON-AIR COMBUSTION PRODUCTS

C H2O CO2 O2 H2 N2 A O H CO OH NO N

C X(1) X(2) X(3) X(4) X(5) X(6) X(7) X(8) X(9) X(10) X(11) X(12)

DIMENSION TT(16),MT(12),HT(16,12),ST(16,12),X(12),Y(99),F(99),

IZ(99),TY(99)

REAL M,MT,K1,K2,K3,K4,K5,K6,K7,K8,K9,K10

C

C THERMODYNAMIC PROPERTIES OF PURE CONSTITUENTS

C

DATA(MT(J),J=1,12)/18.016,44.01,32.00,2.016,28.016,

139.944,16.00,1.008,28.01,17.008,30.008,14.008/

DATA(TT(I),I=1,16)/0.,200.,400.,600.,800.,1000.,1300.,1600.,2000.,

12500.,3000.,3500.,4000.,5000.,6000.,7000./

DATA((HT(I,J),I=1,16),J=1,5)/-51727.,-51100.,-50400.,-49700.,

1-48900.,-47743.,-46297.,-44939.,-42944.,-40290.,-37449.,-34456.,

2-31354.,-24889.,-18176.,-11296.,-85117.,-84000.,-83500.,-82801.,

3-81818.,-80746.,-79007.,-77147.,-74535.,-71116.,-67595.,-63983.,

4-60312.,-52857.,-44500.,-37000.,0.,698.,1400.,2104.,2826.,3574.,

54744.,5963.,7639.,9794.,11996.,14241.,16524.,21203.,26021.,30953.,

60.,751.,1356.,2052.,2755.,3458.,4518.,5588.,7042.,8918.,10867.,

712886.,14964.,19272.,23744.,28355.,0.,699.,1398.,2099.,2801.,

83513.,4608.,5743.,7316.,9356.,11456.,13597.,

915768.,20171.,24629.,29124./

DATA((HT(I,J),I=1,16),J=6,9)/0.,500.,1000.,1500.,2000.,2500.,

13250.,4000.,5000.,6250.,7500.,8750.,10000.,12500.,15000.,17500.,  
 253425.,54000.,54436.,54900.,55345.,56165.,56601.,57600.,58594.,  
 359855.,61110.,62356.,63612.,66120.,66640.,71183.,46759.,47300.,  
 447712.,48360.,48679.,49336.,49977.,50763.,51752.,53008.,54261.,  
 555503.,56756.,59253.,61758.,64257.,-24639.,-24000.,-23000.,  
 6-22540.,-21835.,-21118.,-20009.,-18856.,-17261.,-15193.,-13073.,  
 7-10912.,-8725.,-4295.,0.,3500./

DATA((HT(I,J),I=1,16),J=10,12)/8424.9,9098.,9750.,10455.,11222.,  
 111997.,12043.,14130.,15605.,17520.,19508.,21558.,23664.,27996.,  
 232456.,37024.,19455.,20006.,20567.,21427.,22220.,23091.,24248.,  
 325445.,26992.,29185.,31341.,33580.,35743.,40220.,44741.,49302.,  
 410122.,102000.,102000.,102156.,103312.,104590.,105104.,105933.,  
 5106908.,108173.,109422.,110663.,111716.,114425.,116946.,119518./

DATA((ST(I,J),I=1,16),J=1,4)/0.,18.68,21.55,23.167,24.338,25.273,  
 126.434,27.400,28.505,29.691,30.725,31.6464,32.4745,33.9163,35.13,  
 236.2003,0.,21.700,24.550,26.2015,27.61677,28.81060,30.32729,  
 331.61326,33.06955,34.59469,35.57841,36.98959,37.97139,39.63861,  
 441.0,42.19,0.,21.1538,23.6633,25.0721,26.1033,26.9292,27.9544,  
 528.7966,29.7306,30.6915,31.4947,32.1868,32.796,33.8396,34.7178,  
 635.4778,0.,12.5375,14.7172,16.1042,17.1073,17.8829,18.8146,19.35,  
 720.3638,21.2011,21.9103,22.5326,23.0880,24.0484,24.8623,25.5747/

DATA((ST(I,J),I=1,16),J=5,9)/0.,19.5245,22.0313,23.4306,24.4362,  
 125.2221,26.1808,26.9656,27.8431,28.7524,29.5175,30.1789,30.758,

C

C GAS MODEL CONSTANTS

C

C=4.773384

C1=.00616227

C2=3.727445

C3=(2.\*REQ\*RCH)/(1.+4.\*RCH)

C4=(2.\*REQ)/(1.+4.\*RCH)

IF (TEMP.GT.(100.\*ALOG10(P)+2800.))GO TO 1.

7 IF (REQ.EQ.1.)GO TO 2

IF (REQ.GT.1.)GO TO 3

C

C NON-DISSOCIATING GAS MODEL. REQ.LT.1

C

X(1)=(2.\*C4)/(C4+2.\*C)

X(2)=(4.\*C3)/(C4+2.\*C)

X(3)=(2.-4.\*C3-C4)/(C4+2.\*C)

X(5)=(2.\*C2)/(C4+2.\*C)

X(6)=(4.\*C2\*C1)/(C4+2.\*C)

GO TO 50

C

C NON-DISSOCIATING GAS MODEL. REQ.EQ.1

C

231.74,32.55,33,25.0.,16.11,17.90,18.90,19.61,20.16,20.82,  
 221.341,21.899,22.457,22.912,23.295,23.632,24.190,24.646,25.031,0.,  
 416.59,18.61,19.662,20.401,18.965,21.632,22.156,22.7177,23.2772,  
 523.7344,24.1208,24.4557,25.015,25.474,25.866,0.,11.280,13.08,14.,  
 614.787,15.359,16.996,16.514,17.0724,17.6301,18.087,18.4713,18.81,  
 719.3632,19.8195,20.2045,0.,20.39,22.80,24.19,25.21,26.007,26.98,  
 827.773,28.66,29.59,30.36,31.02,31.61,32.60,33.45,34.15/  
  
 DATA((IST(I,J),I=1,16),J=10,12)/0.,19.643,21.2024,22.4669,23.5156,  
 124.300,25.234,25.9813,26.8053,27.6588,28.3836,29.0155,29.5779,  
 230.54,31.36,32.06,0.,21.62,24.31,25.75,26.71,27.52,  
 328.5805,29.397,30.269,31.250,32.036,32.7121,33.3028,34.3009,  
 435.1247,35.8281,0.,15.92,17.71,18.71,19.43,19.979,20.638,21.155,  
 521.713,22.272,22.727,23.1126,23.4466,24.0055,24.4654,24.8616/

C

C INPUT

C

```

500 READ(5,100)RCH,REQ,TEMP,P
100 FORMAT(4E16.8)
      WRITE (6,300) RCH,REQ,TEMP,P
300 FORMAT(10X4HRCH=E15.8,4X4HREQ=E15.8,4X5HTEMP=E15.8,1HR,
      14X2HP=E15.8,3HATM)
      DO 12 J=1,12
12 X(J)=0.
  
```

2 X(1)=(2.\*(1.-2.\*C3))/(C+(1.-2.\*C3))

X(2)=(2.\*C3)/(C+(1.-2.\*C3))

X(5)=C2/(C+(1.-2.\*C3))

X(6)=(2.\*C2\*C1)/(C+(1.-2.\*C3))

GO TO 50

3 IF (RCH.EQ.0.)GO TO 14

IF(RSQ.GE.((1.+4.\*RCH)/(2.\*RCH)))GO TO 15

C

C NON-DISSOCIATING GAS MODEL. RSQ.GT.1

C

K8=10.\*\* (1.747-(3415./TEMP))

K9=10.\*\* (9.272-(16210./TEMP))

AA=(1.-K8)\*(C+C4+2.\*C3-1.)\*\*2

BB=(C-1.+C4+2.\*C3)\*(2.\*(1.-2.\*C3)+K8\*(C4+6.\*C3-2.))

CC=K8\*(2.-C4-4.\*C3)\*2.\*C3

X(9)=(SQRT(BB\*\*2-4.\*AA\*CC)-BB)/(2.\*AA)

X(2)=(2.\*C3/(C+C4+2.\*C3-1.))-X(9)

X(1)=((1.-2.\*C3)\*X(2)+(1.-C3)\*X(9))/C3

X(4)=X(1)\*X(9)/(K8\*X(2))

X(5)=C2\*(2.\*X(2)+X(9)+X(1))/2.

X(6)=2.\*C1\*X(5)

PLIM=K9\*X(2)/(X(9)\*\*2)

WRITE(6,200)PLIM



```

! K1=10.**((89877./TEMP)-12.7295)
K2=10.**((42367./TEMP)-6.2325)
K3=10.**((47850./TEMP)-6.9570)
K5=10.**((41612./TEMP)-5.8720)
K6=10.**((90047./TEMP)-6.9505)
K7=10.**((60437./TEMP)-6.2915)
IF(REQ.EQ.0.)GO TO 4
IF(RCH.NE.0.)GO TO 5
K4=0.
GO TO 6
5 K4=10.**((50173./TEMP)-7.8765)
6 A22=P**3*K1*K4*(1.-2.*C3+C)
A21=P**2*(K1*(1.+C)+2.*K2*K4*(1.-2.*C3))
A20=2.*P*K2*(1.-C3)
A12=P**2*K4*K5*(1.-2.*C3+C)
A11=P*K*(1.+C)+2.*K4*(1.-2.*C3)
A10=2.*(1.-C3)
A03=2.*P**2*C*K3*K4
A02=P*(K4*(1.-2.*C3+C)+2.*K3*(C3+C))
A01=1.+C-2.*P*K4*(1.-2.*C3)
A00=2.*(C3-1.)
B22=P**3*K1*K4*(2.-4.*C3-C4)
B21=P**2*(K1*(2.-2.*C3-C4)+2.*K2*K4*(1.-2.*C3))

```

B20=2.\*P\*K2\*(1.-C3)

B12=P\*\*2\*K4\*K5\*(1.-2.\*C3-C4)

B11=P\*(K5\*(1.-C3-C4)+K4\*(1.-2.\*C3))

B10=1.-C3

B03=2.\*C4\*P\*\*2\*K3\*K4

B02=C4\*P\*(2.\*K3+K4)

B01=C4

C

C. ITERATION FOR CHEMICAL COMPOSITION

C

21 Y(1)=(-1.\*SQRT((1.+C)\*\*2+16.\*C\*P\*K3)-(1.+C))/(4.\*C\*P\*K3)

L=1

IF(REQ.GT.1)GO TO 25

16 B=(Y(L)\*(Y(L)\*B12+B11)+B10)\*\*2+4.\*(Y(L)\*(Y(L)\*B22+B21)+B20)\*(Y(L)  
1\*(Y(L)\*(Y(L)\*B03+B02)+B01))

IF(B.LT.0.)GO TO 20

Z(L)=(SQRT(B)-1.\*(Y(L)\*(Y(L)\*B12+B11)+B10))/((Y(L)\*(Y(L)\*B22+B21)  
1+B20)\*2.)

IF((Y(L).LT..00001).AND.(REQ.LE.1))GO TO 7

F(L)=Z(L)\*(Z(L)\*(Y(L)\*(Y(L)\*A22+A21)+A20)-(Y(L)\*(Y(L)\*A12+A11)+  
1A10))+(Y(L)\*(Y(L)\*(Y(L)\*A03+A02)+A01))+A00

IF((F(L).GT.-.00001).AND.(F(L).LT..00001))GO TO 8

DELF=(Z(L)\*\*2)\*(2.\*A22\*Y(L)+A21)+Z(L)\*(2.\*A12\*Y(L)+A11)+3.\*A03\*  
1Y(L)\*\*2+2.\*A02\*Y(L)+A01-(2.\*(Y(L)\*(Y(L)\*A22+A21)+A20)\*Z(L)+(Y(L)  
2\*(Y(L)\*A12+A11)+A10))\*((Z(L)\*\*2)\*(2.\*A22\*Y(L)+A21)+Z(L)\*(2.\*A12  
3\*Y(L)+B11)-(3.\*B03\*Y(L)\*\*2+2.\*B02\*Y(L)+B01))/(2.\*(Y(L)\*(Y(L)\*B22  
4+B21)+B20)\*Z(L)+(Y(L)\*(Y(L)\*B12+B11)+B10))

```

Y(L+1)=Y(L)-F(L)/ABS(DEL)
L=L+1
GO TO 16

20 Y(L)=.1*Y(L)
IF((Y(L).LT..00001).AND.(REG.LE.1.))GO TO 7
GO TO 16

25 Z(1)=(+1.*SQRT((2.*C4*(1.-C3)+1.+C)**2+16.*P*K2*C4*(1.-C3)*(C4*(1.-C3)+1.+C))-(2.*C4*(1.-C3)+1.+C))/(4.*P*K2*(C4*(1.-C3)+1.+C))

28 B=(B21*Z(L)**2+B11*Z(L)-B01)**-4.*(B20*Z(L)**2+B10*Z(L))*(B22*Z(L)**2+B12*Z(L)-B02)

K=1
TY(K)=(-1.*SQRT(B)-(B21*Z(L)**2+B11*Z(L)-B01))/(2.*(B22*Z(L)**2+B12*Z(L)-B02))

27 DEL=(B22*TY(K)**2+B21*TY(K)+B20)*Z(L)**2+(B12*TY(K)**2+B11*TY(K)+B10)*Z(L)-(B03*TY(K)**3+B02*TY(K)**2+B01*TY(K))
TY(K+1)=TY(K)-DEL/(-3.*B03*TY(K)**2+2.*(B22*Z(L)**2+B12*Z(L)-B02)+TY(K)+(B21*Z(L)**2+B11*Z(L)-B01))
K=K+1
GO TO 27

26 Y(L)=TY(K)
F(L)=Z(L)*(Z(L)*(Y(L)*(Y(L)*A22+A21)+A20)+(Y(L)*(Y(L)*A12+A11)+A10))+(Y(L)*(Y(L)*(Y(L)*A03+A02)+A01)+A00)

```

```

IF((F(L).LT..0001).AND.(F(L).GT.-.0001))GO TO 8
IF(Z(L).LT..00001)GO TO 7
IF(L.EQ.1)GO TO 29
Z(L+1)=Z(L)-F(L)*(Z(L-1)-Z(L))/(F(L-1)-F(L))
L=L+1
GO TO 28
29 Z(2)=.5*Z(1)

L=2
GO TO 28
8 X(7)=Y(L)
IF(REQ.EQ.0.)GO TO 22
X(8)=Z(L)
X(1)=P**2*K1*X(7)*X(8)**2
X(3)=P*K3*X(7)**2
X(10)=P*K5*X(7)*X(8)
X(9)=(C3*(X(1)+2.*X(3)+X(7)+X(10)))/((1.-2.*C3)*P*K4*X(7)+1.-C3)
X(2)=P*K4*X(7)*X(9)
X(4)=P*K2*X(8)**2
X(5)=(C2/2.)*(2.*X(2)+X(1)+2.*X(3)+X(7)+X(9)+X(10))
X(6)=2.*C1*X(5)
GO TO 50

```

C

C DISSOCIATING AIR

C

4 A22=0.

A21=0.

A20=P\*K6\*(1.+2.\*C1)

A12=0.

A11=P\*K7\*(1.+C1)

A10=1.+C1

A03=0.

A02=P\*K3

A01=1.

A00=-1.

B22=0.

B21=0.

B20=2.\*P\*K6

B12=0.

B11=P\*K7\*(1.-C2)

B10=1.

B03=0.

B02=2.\*P\*K3\*C2

B01=C2

GO TO 21

22 X(12)=Z(L)

X(11)=P\*K7\*X(7)\*X(12)

X(3)=P\*K3\*X(7)\*\*2

X(5)=(C2\*(2.\*X(3)+X(7)+X(11))-X(11)-X(12))/2.

X(6)=C1\*(2.\*X(5)+X(11)+X(12))

C

C CALCULATION OF GAS MIXTURE THERMODYNAMIC PROPERTIES

C

50 DO 9 I=1,16

IF(TEMP-TT(I))10,10,9

9 CONTINUE

10 DELH=(TEMP-TT(I-1))/(TT(I)-TT(I-1))

DELS=(ALOG(TEMP/TT(I-1)))/(ALOG(TT(I)/TT(I-1)))

M=0.

H=0.

S=0.

DO 11 J=1,12

IF(X(J).EQ.0.)GO TO 11

M=M+X(J)\*NT(J)

H=H+X(J)\*(HT(I-1,J)+(HT(I,J)-HT(I-1,J))\*DELH)

S=S+X(J)\*(ST(I-1,J)+(ST(I,J)-ST(I-1,J))\*DELS-ALOG(P\*X(J))

11 CONTINUE

H=(1.98588/M)\*H

S=(1.98588/M)\*S




Figure 6.- Isentropic nozzle expansion process.

The performance parameters are given by the following expressions:

$$\frac{F_{\text{net}}}{W_A} = (1 + f) \frac{V_1}{g} - \frac{V_\infty}{g}$$

$$I_{\text{SP}} = \frac{\frac{F_{\text{net}}}{W_A}}{f}$$

The variation of specific impulse with Mach number for this idealized ramjet is presented in Figure 7. The solid curve was calculated using the thermodynamic properties of Reference 6. The dashed curve was calculated using the computer program for the simplified gas model. The maximum deviation is 1 per cent.

Summary of solid carbon and ammonia formation. - The formation of solid carbon extracts useful energy from the combustion gas. If this phenomenon occurs during a nozzle expansion process, the useful energy absorbed in forming solid carbon is not recoverable and a loss in performance results.

The derivation of the nondissociating ( $T \leq T_{\text{cut-off}}$ ) fuel rich gas model required one chemical reaction in order that the formation of carbon monoxide (CO) could be included. The equilibrium expression resulting from the required reaction is independent of pressure. Consequently, the composition of the gas in this region is independent of pressure. This fact simplifies the treatment of solid






Figure 7.- Effect of gas model on typical ramjet performance.  
 Hydrogen-air combustion,  $R_{eq} = 1.0$ ,  $q = 1500 \text{ lb/ft}^2$ ,  
 $P_t = 10 \text{ atm}$ ; isentropic fully expanded nozzle; 1962  
 Standard Atmosphere.

carbon formation, since the limiting pressure above which solid carbon will form is related to temperature and composition only.

The computer program for the simplified gas model indicates the formation of solid carbon by an error statement. When the limiting pressure is exceeded, the error statement is printed, but the program computes the thermodynamic properties as though solid carbon had not formed. When the limiting equivalence ratio is exceeded, the error statement is printed and no calculations are made. The limiting pressure for solid carbon formation is readily extracted from the thermodynamic calculations. Figures 8 through 10 present the variation of limiting pressure with equivalence ratio and temperature for methane-air ( $R_{ch} = 0.25$ ), kerosene-air ( $R_{ch} = 0.5$ ), and benzene-air ( $R_{ch} = 1$ ) combustion products, respectively. For all cases, as

$$\begin{array}{llll} R_{eq} \rightarrow R_{eqlim} & P_{lim} \rightarrow 0 & \text{since} & X_{CO_2} \rightarrow 0 \\ R_{eq} \rightarrow 1 & P_{lim} \rightarrow \infty & \text{since} & X_{CO} \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

The formation of ammonia is considered only for the hydrogen-air, nondissociating, fuel rich system. The composition of the gas under these conditions depends upon equivalence ratio only. Therefore, the limiting pressure above which the mole fraction of ammonia is greater than some arbitrary amount is related to temperature and composition.

The computer program for the simplified gas model indicates the formation of ammonia ( $X_{NH_3} > 0.01$ ) by an error statement. When the limiting pressure is exceeded, the error statement is printed, but the




Figure 8.- Limiting pressure for solid carbon formation, methane-air combustion products ( $R_{ch} = 0.25$ ),  $R_{eq,lim} = 4.0$ .




Figure 9.- Limiting pressure for solid carbon formation, kerosene-air combustion products ( $R_{ch} = 0.5$ ),  $R_{eq,lim} = 3.0$ .




Figure 10.- Limiting pressure for solid carbon formation, benzene-air combustion products ( $R_{ch} = 1.0$ ),  $R_{eq,lim} = 2.5$ .

program computes the thermodynamic properties as though ammonia had not formed. Figure 11 presents the variation of limiting pressure with equivalence ratio and temperature for hydrogen-air combustion products. For all temperatures, as

$$R_{eq} \rightarrow \infty \quad P_{lim} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{since} \quad X_{N_2} \rightarrow 0$$

$$R_{eq} \rightarrow 1 \quad P_{lim} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{since} \quad X_{H_2} \rightarrow 0$$




Figure 11.- Limiting pressure for ammonia formation ( $X_{NH_3} = 0.01$ ), hydrogen-air combustion products ( $R_{ch} = 0$ ).

## CHAPTER IV

### SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

A simplified equilibrium hydrocarbon-air combustion gas model for convenient use in engine cycle computer programs has been presented. The generalized gas model presented includes the effects of dissociation and reduces to the special cases of hydrogen-air combustion products, as well as dissociating air. The associated computer program can be readily incorporated as a subroutine in a general engine cycle computer program or used alone to generate Mollier diagrams.

With the exception of pure air, this gas model neglects the formation of chemical species containing atomic nitrogen, which allows a considerable simplification of the solution for chemical composition. The effect of this assumption on the thermodynamic properties of stoichiometric kerosene-air and hydrogen-air combustion products is presented. The importance of this assumption is shown in terms of the performance of an idealized subsonic combustion ramjet. Good agreement between the simplified model and more comprehensive treatments is obtained in the range of temperatures applicable to hypersonic engine cycles.

The computer program has been used to calculate the limiting pressure for solid carbon and ammonia formation in fuel rich gas mixtures. The results of this calculation are presented as a function of temperature and equivalence ratio for the combustion products of various hydrocarbon fuels.



PRECEDING PAGE BLANK NOT FILMED.

#### REFERENCES

1. Zucrow, M. J.: Aircraft and Missile Propulsion. John Wiley and Sons, Inc., 1958.
2. Rothrock, A. M.: Turbojet Propulsion - System Research and the Resulting Effects on Airplane Performance. NACA RM 54H23, 1955.
3. Ferri, A.: Review of Problems in Application of Supersonic Combustion. Seventh Lanchester Memorial Lecture. Journal of the Royal Aeronautical Society, vol. 68, no. 645, Sept. 1964.
4. Hall, E. W.; Weber, R. J.: Tables and Charts for Thermodynamic Calculations Involving Air and Fuels Containing Boron, Carbon, Hydrogen, and Oxygen. NACA RM E56B27, 1956.
5. Clarke, J. F.; and McCheshey, M.: The Dynamics of Real Gases. Butterworth and Company, 1964.
6. Brown, W. G.; and Warlick, D. L.: Properties of Combustion Gases - System  $H_2$  - Air. General Electric Company, Sept. 1964.
7. Fremont, H. A.: Properties of Combustion Gases - System  $C_NH_{2N}$  - Air. General Electric Company, 1955.
8. Erickson, W. D.; Kemper, J. T.; and Allison, D. O.: A Method For Computing Chemical Compositions of Reacting-Gas Mixtures By Reduction to a Single Iteration Equation. NASA TN D-3488, 1966.
9. Zeleznik, F. J.; and Gordon, S.: An Analytical Investigation of Three General Methods of Calculating Chemical-Equilibrium Compositions. NASA TN D-473, 1960.

10. U.S. Standard Atmosphere, 1962.
11. McCracken, Daniel D.: A Guide to Fortran Programming. John Wiley and Sons, Inc., C. 1961.